

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

AIR

NDK-W 11837

6 December 1951

Chief of Station, Karlsruhe

Attn: BOB

Chief, Foreign Division M

Approvals for Staybehind Projects

1. This memorandum is the result of a Headquarters review of the status of project approvals for the various PASTIME projects. You are requested to study the following comments and let us have your reactions.

2. With respect to the designation PASTIME itself, we are informed here that PASTIME should be considered as a basic plan covering all of the various staybehind activities and individual projects. PASTIME will therefore be written up by the undersigned as a basic plan.

3. The following projects have received approval, as indicated: KIBITZ- expires 31 December 1951; a field outline for the renewal of this project has been requested. CAOLIO- approved on 5 December 1951 for the period from 1 October 1951 through 30 September 1952. CAJUN- approved for the period 1 July 1951 through 30 June 1952. CATTY 4- approved 24 September 1951 for the period from 1 July 1951 through 30 September 1952. CATARACT 2- approved 30 October 1951 for the period from 1 July 1951 through 30 September 1952.

4. Approval was requested for the CALAMIS project on 30 November 1951 for the period from 1 July 1951 through 30 September 1952.

5. A field project outline for MISSOURI was submitted in MBEA-24673 for the period from 1 July 1950 through 31 December 1950, but there is no record of official approval. A new field project outline for MISSOURI should therefore be submitted.

6. The CADDER project expires as of 31 December 1951 and will not be renewed. See NDKW-11609.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3028
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

27 MAY 1997

SECRET

SECRET

SECURITY INFORMATION

7. No field project outlines have ever been submitted for the following projects: CABINDA, CADREAU, FOLD, and VULTURE. There has been some tendency to regard CABINDA and CADREAU as basic plans; hence their status has never been adequately clarified. It would appear, however, that since a similar activity, CAJUN, is regarded as a project, the same should be the case for CABINDA and CADREAU. We would also appreciate knowing the progress of these two projects. CABINDA, in particular, seems to have languished. Please submit project outlines for the above four projects, or at least for those which are still active.

8. There is some question here as to whether there should not be a separate project approval in the case of KIBITZ 15, even though 15 has been approved as a part of the general KIBITZ project. Please give us your thoughts on this.

9. It is our understanding that WRINKLE is obsolete as a project, having been absorbed by VULTURE. Is this correct?

[]

SECRET